

Stephen Browning – Big Picture and Fast Action - I.

From the various arguments... My observations from the Discussion Dialogue at the end of June 2020.

The challenges we face are

Resources, Environmental Impact, Poverty, Disease (and you'll probably add some more).

With the 'Taboo' Elephant in the room being Homo Sapien Population.

Sorry Pope Francis you cant now let everyone go anywhere, as Hominins have been able to do for most of the 6 million years of their existence!!

And Homo Sapiens for most of the 200000-140000 years since we 'branched'.

And the planet can do worse things to us (en masse) than we to it....

Politicians are only as good as their advisors

"My words are my own, my actions are those of my ministers" - King Charles II

And having only economics staff in DECC as at 2010 shows the issue.

Energy delivery - Safety, System Security, Economics - with Primary Fuel Security and Emissions control, is actually dominated by the Engineering.

And I don't see much sense in BEIS - as their response to my Strategy showed (twice). Same reply - 'Capacity Market'. Which I regards as 'Sticking plaster', mainly keeping the Coal running longer!! But do we finally see some sense as regards Low/Negative Carbon Gas and Integrated Energy provision?

So, as regards the immediate issue of Resources and Climate (noting the former is getting dramatically worse and the latter is getting volatile).

Definitely horses for courses - one size don't fit all but we have to hit the biggest Energy Resource and Emissions issue hard - the Chinese Coal burn.

And we ain't going to wean them off that fast enough - but we can convert the way they use it...

And are we the best place for Sun?

The insolation maps (CAP and PV) are certainly interesting.

We need to concentrate effort on more efficient materials for Energy conversion and storage - just the 10 to the Power 60 potential substances from the existing Elements (CoGx - Research Stage I think). Also an earlier post on advanced Photosynthesis and Photoelectric materials. And I have documents re the 'lightning' battery (tortured glass).

We need to recognise that just throwing 'siloes' solutions doesnt work. I still see some of that in the IEA Smart Grids Network reports but need to hunt their main site.

We have the technology to do integrated sector modelling (even with separate integrating simulations)

It is only the limitations of our ability to configure and program such Complex simulations.

Throwing Wind and Solar in the air and on the roofs without a proper overall strategy for plant mix and the need for a seismic shift in Customer behaviour aint too clever.

As regards the latter the Smart Meter project didn't recognise fully, although there is a data route to enable better Customer Interaction. Which requirement did go in to the consultations - flexible optional data. And we now have the extra need to observe and manage PV and EV charge/discharge effectively - upstream Distribution and Transmission Security and G to D Matching.

With the large Customer connections (Domestic Single phase 14kW or 24kW upwards) being more heavily utilised and operating bi-directional.

Stephen Browning – Big Picture and Fast Action - I.

And with the existing plant mix, each tranche was justified with high load factors at the start of its life.

Including Low Carbon Nuclear at 100% Utilisation. But the lifetime costs which were assessed at the design stage probably did not include decommissioning. And some of the Magnox had a second funding 'source' for the spent fuel.

AGRs (mid 60's projects) would have been compared to deep mined Coal as neither use of cheaper Manufactured gas (late 60's- but only local production) nor North Sea Natural gas (1975 - which would be restricted from Power Generation use anyway up to 1991) could be foreseen.

It was recognised that the delay of Dungeness B (finally commissioned 1984) meant it will never actually save as against Coal - and certainly not Gas.

Noting also the need for diversity of Primary Fuel Input - as evidenced by the 1972/3 miners strike, the Oil Price shocks, then the 1985 miners strike.

Hence the 1960's Strategy for Coal, Oil and Nuclear.

The CEGB did build a 5MW Wind Turbine in 1975 and put up 3 commercial 320kW machines at Carmarthen Bay in 1982.

Sequential trading then Operator action from unbundling (privatisation) is not using the plant most effectively.

And separate, sequential handling of Generation applications and Transmission and Distribution improvements results in a chronically inefficient approach to T&D provision.

Offshore Wind farms, each with separate connections (Dogger - long wires - struggling to develop), while main Transmission and Interconnectors end up with routes 'crossing' same!! (ESO Ten Year Statement Appendix C - attached. Scroll up and down through the years).

Should have been an integrated plan when Round 3 was announced; offshore Busbar through the sites and connections to land. But that would mean allocating Generation and Transmission Party payments on each circuit - too hard for the Regulator who insists each asset is assigned to Transmission or Generation or Demand for charging?.....

In passing, note the issues with configuring UHV High Power Transmission. The 8GW circuits in China cannot be operated to full output, or losing one would 'take a Province 'out'!!!

Now, I can finally get at GB True customer demand from the published BMRA and ESO Generation data.

With not just Metered Generation and Interconnector Imports but also Estimated Actual output from Non Metered Generation, Interconnector Export and Pumped Storage Pumping now being published. True (Potential) demand is what the Operator Top Down Demand+Weather Analysis to Forecasting Models use.

So, I can get a decent view of our existing view of load curve, with its relatively high base load level over days then weeks. We have relatively high Trough to Peak ratios compared to the 70's (Economy 7 in non Gas areas and Server farms as we have lost Industry?)

And then superimpose Output by fuel type to get a better idea of the distortions from sequential trading .

Then think about imposing the big variable EV load - tens of GW 'each way' - G2V V2G. With the other 'heavyweight' storage!!

Stephen Browning – Big Picture and Fast Action - I.

And following posts from others, that....

It is legitimate to flag up the population issue but the conversation needs to be more reflective than it often has been. Out of the current 7.6 bn people on the planet 2 billion are children (under 15). By 2100, the WHO estimates the number of children to be ... 2 billion. The numbers issue is about us living longer not more kids overloading the space. Some are already arguing that coronavirus is doing what politicians of all hues seek to duck. My take is that longevity isn't the issue, but you do have to change diet, lifestyles, contributory roles, politics and economics. I'm working on it. My biggest caveat on your points is that I genuinely can't see any place for new nuclear in a scenario that must cut carbon emissions in half within the coming decade. Might work for the hereafter. My kids would prefer something sooner.

And from me

I see the point on the Population Age profile. We are indeed getting more successful at 'mending people' while at the same time we are our only major predator?
Apart from the Planet and Cosmos that is - Volcanism and Projectiles!!

I wasn't advocating Nuclear, just illustrating how the Energy drivers work - including Diverse sourcing. And the fact there is plenty of Base Customer Demand to accommodate what we have and had. Having committed to any Nuclear strategy you run the plant as hard as you can to cover the considerable 'Committed' Fixed Costs. Up to the time the Regulatory requirements for continued operation are infeasible to carry out. Such as requiring replacement of the Pressure Vessel.

The issue with Methane is to 'Use it, Don't Lose it (to the atmosphere) - or it 'loses' Us'. (Carbon Cycle attached).

Thus melting the Siberian Tundra releases a major Greenhouse accelerant.

Making Synthetic Methane from Coal, which is currently being used inefficiently in vast quantities and has the largest Reserves, mixed with Trash and with a mechanism which also enables easier CO2 sequestration from the Outlet, is a fast option. Converting the conventional Chinese Coal Generating Stations (as with Peterhead and now Drax and by simple use of burners to start with), plus added Heat Recovery. Also, separate High Efficiency CHP+++ units.. Especially for all those 'leaky' post war Apartment blocks.

But, as I also say in FPS 22 this is 'get out of Jail for a while'.

For the long run we need seriously better, cleaner, large scale Energy Production and Conversion.

Hence the ideas that Quantum Computing will give an incredible 'Quantum Leap' to our ability to do faster DNA sequencing, understand the nature of 'stuff' and what Synthesised materials would be of most use. Exciting Stuff.

Stephen Browning – Big Picture and Fast Action - I.

And as regards Modelling

The only way to get a handle on Future Energy is linked time series analysis (coupled Modelling) of the sources, conversions, outputs and emissions impacts.

Fuel Supply, Conversion and Transport -

Natural Energy- (Sun and Wind conversion, Hydro and Geothermal production),

Combustion - Natural Fossil, Natural Low Carbon (Biomass, Trash, Anaerobic Digestion)

and Low Carbon Fossil Conversion (Coal/Trash/Biomass - Syngas/CO then to Synthetic Natural Gas (CH₄) + CO₂ (latter to easy CCS). H₂ production (iterate with Electricity model)

Also alternate Conversion (Syngas/CO to Methanol). Others? Compressed Methane here or in the Production models?

H₂ production

Nuclear.

With Emissions and Environmental Impact accounted. Base data and some calculation here, more calculation in the Production Model (e.g Emissions calc from Fuel Heat usage).

Inc Storage and source 'Scarcity' .

Electricity Production - Inputs from Fuel Supply/Conversion and Transport Storage.

Thermal Efficiency data for Combustion plant

Dynamic data restrictions.

direct storage.

Internal Interface to Transmission and Distribution Network modules - security, stability and losses accounting. .

Heat and Cooling Production - Interface with Fuel Supply and Electricity (inc HP and CHP+++), including Heat from Fuel Conversion.

Transport - Light and Heavy, Personal, Business and Public.

Complex Interface with Fuel and Electricity (Any Heat recovery?)

Just 'scratches the surface'; lots more in the formulation of the models and interface data!!

I have dealt with 2 model iteration but nothing as complex as this - way outside the comfort zone!!

Should keep the IEA busy.